UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,166	09/24/2007	Joseph M. Onderko	040158 343P2	4066
	7590 08/27/200 ESSLER & VANDERE	EXAMINER		
6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD SUITE 200			FABIAN-KOVACS, ARPAD	
CLEVELAND,	ОН 44131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,166	ONDERKO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Árpád Fábián-Kovács	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harvey et al (2004/0201286).

cl. 1: multi-position ignition switch having OFF & RUN positions (having ON & OFF position ignition switch 28, fig 2); PTO switch (30 having ENGAGE / DISENGAGED positions, fig 3); reverse switch (26 having FORWARD / REVERSE positions, fig 3); override switch (14 having ACTIVATION / NON-ACTIVATION, fig 3); control circuit that prevents or allows PTO operation when the override is in NON-ACTIVATION (OFF) or ACTIVATION (ON) respectively; the override condition is released when the override switch is in the NON-ACTIVATION (OFF) position or reset when ignition switch off / reset at start (background of the invention; see ¶4); the PTO switch is engaged/disengaged while the override condition is maintained the vehicle moves between reverse & forward directions (¶18); cl. 4-5: electric PTO clutch (¶8); means for disengaging (for example, safety switch, ¶8-10); cl. 6: magneto (75).

As applied to claim(s) 7-9, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Harvey, the **method** of operating/using the device is inherent since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device is used.

Claims 1, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walters et al (2004/0124026).

For brevity the above details won't be repeated, Walters also discloses an override/bypass switch to enable operation of the PTO in reverse.

Claims 1, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baggett et al (6437458).

For brevity the above details won't be repeated, Baggett also discloses an override/bypass switch (at position #2) to enable operation of the PTO in reverse (see ¶16, in Detailed Description).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián-Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Árpád Fábián-Kovács/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671